

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/803,933	Applicant(s) SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen J. Kalafut	Art Unit 1745	

All Participants:

(1) Stephen J. Kalafut.

(2) Phyllis Marriot.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☒ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Marriot returned a call from the examiner to attorney Joseph Scafetta, and indicated that no response has been sent to the outstanding office action.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)